

Spherically Symmetric and Rotating Wormholes Produced by Lightlike Branes

E.I. Guendelman and A. Kaganovich*

*Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
P.O.Box 653, IL-84105 Beer-Sheva, Israel*

E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva†

*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Boul. Tsarigradsko Chausee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria*

Abstract

Lightlike p -branes (LL -branes) with dynamical (variable) tension allow simple and elegant Polyakov-type and dual to it Nambu-Goto-like world-volume action formulations. Here we first briefly describe the dynamics of LL -branes as test objects in various physically interesting gravitational backgrounds of black hole type, including rotating ones. Next we show that LL -branes are the appropriate gravitational sources that provide proper matter energy momentum tensors in the Einstein equations of motion needed to generate traversable wormhole solutions, in particular, self-consistent cylindrical rotating wormholes, with the LL -branes occupying their throats. Here a major role is being played by the dynamical LL -brane tension which turns out to be negative but may be of arbitrary small magnitude. As a particular solution we obtain traversable wormhole with Schwarzschild geometry generated by a LL -brane positioned at the wormhole throat, which represents the correct consistent realization of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” manifold.

PACS numbers: 11.25.-w, 04.70.-s, 04.50.+h

*Electronic address: guendel@bgumail.bgu.ac.il, alexk@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

†Electronic address: nissimov@inrne.bas.bg, svetlana@inrne.bas.bg

I. INTRODUCTION

Lightlike branes (*LL-branes*) are very interesting dynamical systems which play an important role in the description of various physically important phenomena in general relativity, such as: (i) impulsive lightlike signals arising in cataclysmic astrophysical events [1]; (ii) the “membrane paradigm” [2] of black hole physics; (iii) the thin-wall approach to domain walls coupled to gravity [3, 4].

More recently, *LL-branes* became significant also in the context of modern non-perturbative string theory, in particular, as the so called *H*-branes describing quantum horizons (black hole and cosmological) [5], as Penrose limits of baryonic *D*(=Dirichlet) branes [6], *etc* (see also [7]).

In the original papers [3, 4] *LL-branes* in the context of gravity and cosmology have been extensively studied from a phenomenological point of view, *i.e.*, by introducing them without specifying the Lagrangian dynamics from which they may originate[28]. On the other hand, we have proposed in a series of recent papers [9–11] a new class of concise Lagrangian actions, providing a derivation from first principles of the *LL-brane* dynamics.

There are several characteristic features of *LL-branes* which drastically distinguish them from ordinary Nambu-Goto branes:

- (i) They describe intrinsically lightlike modes, whereas Nambu-Goto branes describe massive ones.
- (ii) The tension of the *LL-brane* arises as an *additional dynamical degree of freedom*, whereas Nambu-Goto brane tension is a given *ad hoc* constant.
- (iii) Consistency of *LL-brane* dynamics in a spherically or axially symmetric gravitational background of codimension one requires the presence of an event horizon which is automatically occupied by the *LL-brane* (“horizon straddling” according to the terminology of the first ref.[4]).

In the present paper we will explore the novel possibility of employing *LL-branes* as natural self-consistent gravitational sources for wormhole space-times, in other words, generating wormhole solutions in self-consistent bulk gravity-matter systems coupled to *LL-branes* through dynamically derived world-volume *LL-brane* stress energy tensors. For a review of

[28] In a more recent paper [8] brane actions in terms of their pertinent extrinsic geometry have been proposed which generically describe non-lightlike branes, whereas the lightlike branes are treated as a limiting case.

wormhole space-times, see [12, 13].

The possibility of a “wormhole space-time” was first hinted at in the work of Einstein and Rosen [14], where they considered matching at the horizon of two identical copies of the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region (subsequently called *Einstein-Rosen “bridge”*). The original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” manifold appears as a particular case of the construction of spherically symmetric wormholes produced by *LL-branes* as gravitational sources (refs.[11] and Section 4 below). The main lesson here is that consistency of Einstein equations of motion yielding the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” as well-defined solution necessarily requires the presence of *LL-brane* energy-momentum tensor as a source on the right hand side. Thus, the introduction of *LL-brane* coupling to gravity brings the original Einstein-Rosen construction in ref.[14] to a consistent completion (see the *Appendix* for details).

Let us particularly emphasize that here and in what follows we consider the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” in its original formulation in ref.[14] as a four-dimensional space-time manifold consisting of two copies of the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region matched along the horizon[29].

A more complicated example of a spherically symmetric wormhole with Reissner-Nordström geometry has also been presented in [11], where two copies of the outer Reissner-Nordström space-time region are matched via *LL-brane* along what used to be the outer horizon of the full Reissner-Nordström manifold (see also Section 4 below). In this way we obtain a wormhole solution which combines the features of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” on the one hand (with wormhole throat at horizon), and the features of Misner-Wheeler wormholes [17], *i.e.*, exhibiting the so called “charge without charge” phenomenon[30], on the other hand.

[29] The nomenclature of “Einstein-Rosen bridge” in several standard textbooks (e.g. [15]) uses the Kruskal-Szekeres manifold. The latter notion of “Einstein-Rosen bridge” is not equivalent to the original construction in [14]. Namely, the two regions in Kruskal-Szekeres space-time corresponding to the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) and labeled (*I*) and (*III*) in [15] are generally *disconnected* and share only a two-sphere (the angular part) as a common border ($U = 0, V = 0$ in Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates), whereas in the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” construction the boundary between the two identical copies of the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) is a three-dimensional hypersurface ($r = 2m$).

[30] Misner and Wheeler [17] realized that wormholes connecting two asymptotically flat space times provide the possibility of “charge without charge”, *i.e.*, electromagnetically non-trivial solutions where the lines of force of the electric field flow from one universe to the other without a source and giving the impression of being positively charged in one universe and negatively charged in the other universe.

In the present paper the results of refs.[11] will be extended to the case of rotating (and charged) wormholes. Namely, we will construct rotating cylindrically symmetric wormhole solutions by matching two copies of the outer region of rotating cylindrically symmetric (charged) black hole via rotating *LL-branes* sitting at the wormhole throat which in this case is the outer horizon of the corresponding rotating black hole. Let us stress again that in doing so we will be solving Einstein equations of motion systematically derived from a well-defined action principle, *i.e.*, a Lagrangian action describing bulk gravity-matter system coupled to a *LL-brane*, so that the energy-momentum tensor on the r.h.s. of Einstein equations will contain as a crucial piece the explicit world-volume stress-energy tensor of the *LL-brane* given by the *LL-brane* world-volume action.

In Section 2 of the present paper we briefly review our construction of *LL-brane* world-volume actions for *arbitrary* world-volume dimensions.

In Section 3 we discuss the properties of *LL-brane* dynamics as *test* branes moving in generic spherically or axially symmetric gravitational backgrounds. In the present paper we concentrate on the special case of codimension one *LL-branes*. Here consistency of the *LL-brane* dynamics dictates that the bulk space-time must possess an event horizon which is automatically occupied by the *LL-brane* (“horizon straddling”). In the case of rotating black hole backgrounds the test *LL-brane* rotates along with the rotation of the horizon. Also, similarly to the non-rotating case we find exponential “inflation/deflation” of the test *LL-brane*’s dynamical tension.

In Section 4 we consider self-consistent systems of bulk gravity and matter interacting with *LL-branes*. We present the explicit construction of wormhole solutions to the Einstein equations with spherically symmetric or rotating cylindrically symmetric geometry, generated through the pertinent *LL-brane* energy-momentum tensor.

In Section 5 we briefly describe the traversability of the newly found rotating cylindrical wormholes.

In the *Appendix* we first show that the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” solution in terms of the original coordinates introduced in [14] *does not* satisfy the vacuum Einstein equations due to an ill-defined δ -function contribution at the throat appearing on the r.h.s. – a would-be “thin shell” matter energy-momentum tensor. Then we show how our present construction of wormhole solutions via *LL-branes* at their throats resolves the above problem and furnishes a satisfactory completion of the original construction of Einstein-Rosen “bridge” [14]. In

other words, the fully consistent formulation of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” manifold as two identical copies of the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region matched along the horizon must include a gravity coupling to a *LL-brane*, which produces the proper surface stress-energy tensor (derived from a well-defined world-volume Lagrangian) necessary for the “bridge” metric to satisfy the pertinent Einstein equations everywhere, including at the throat.

II. LIGHTLIKE BRANES: WORLD-VOLUME ACTION FORMULATIONS

In a series of previous papers [9–11] we proposed manifestly reparametrization invariant world-volume actions describing intrinsically lightlike p -branes for any world-volume dimension $(p + 1)$:

$$S = - \int d^{p+1} \sigma \Phi(\varphi) \left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) - L(F^2) \right] \quad (1)$$

Here the following notions and notations are used:

- Alternative non-Riemannian integration measure density $\Phi(\varphi)$ (volume form) on the p -brane world-volume manifold:

$$\Phi(\varphi) \equiv \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \varepsilon_{I_1 \dots I_{p+1}} \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} \varphi^{I_1} \dots \partial_{a_{p+1}} \varphi^{I_{p+1}} \quad (2)$$

instead of the usual $\sqrt{-\gamma}$. Here $\{\varphi^I\}_{I=1}^{p+1}$ are auxiliary world-volume scalar fields; γ_{ab} ($a, b = 0, 1, \dots, p$) denotes the intrinsic Riemannian metric on the world-volume, and $\gamma = \det \|\gamma_{ab}\|$. Note that γ_{ab} is *independent* of φ^I . The alternative non-Riemannian volume form (2) has been first introduced in the context of modified standard (non-lightlike) string and p -brane models in refs.[16].

- $X^\mu(\sigma)$ are the p -brane embedding coordinates in the bulk D -dimensional space time with bulk Riemannian metric $G_{\mu\nu}(X)$ with $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D - 1$; $(\sigma) \equiv (\sigma^0 \equiv \tau, \sigma^i)$ with $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\partial_a \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^a}$.
- g_{ab} is the induced metric:

$$g_{ab} \equiv \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) , \quad (3)$$

which becomes *singular* on-shell (manifestation of the lightlike nature, cf. Eq.(9) below).

- Auxiliary $(p-1)$ -rank antisymmetric tensor gauge field $A_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}$ on the world-volume with p -rank field-strength and its dual:

$$F_{a_1 \dots a_p} = p \partial_{[a_1} A_{a_2 \dots a_p]} \quad , \quad F^{*a} = \frac{1}{p!} \frac{\varepsilon^{aa_1 \dots a_p}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} F_{a_1 \dots a_p} . \quad (4)$$

Its Lagrangian $L(F^2)$ is *arbitrary* function of F^2 with the short-hand notation:

$$F^2 \equiv F_{a_1 \dots a_p} F_{b_1 \dots b_p} \gamma^{a_1 b_1} \dots \gamma^{a_p b_p} . \quad (5)$$

Let us note the simple identity:

$$F_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1} b} F^{*b} = 0 , \quad (6)$$

which will play a crucial role in the sequel.

Remark 1. For the special choice $L(F^2) = (F^2)^{1/p}$ the action (1) becomes manifestly invariant under *Weyl (conformal) symmetry*: $\gamma_{ab} \longrightarrow \gamma'_{ab} = \rho \gamma_{ab}$, $\varphi^I \longrightarrow \varphi'^I = \varphi'^I(\varphi)$ with Jacobian $\det \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi'^I}{\partial \varphi^J} \right\| = \rho$. In what follows we will consider the generic Weyl *non*-invariant case.

Rewriting the action (1) in the following equivalent form:

$$S = - \int d^{p+1} \sigma \chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(X) - L(F^2) \right] \quad , \quad \chi \equiv \frac{\Phi(\varphi)}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \quad (7)$$

with $\Phi(\varphi)$ the same as in (2), we find that the composite field χ plays the role of a *dynamical (variable) brane tension*. Let us note that the notion of dynamical brane tension has previously appeared in different contexts in refs.[18].

Now let us consider the equations of motion corresponding to (1) w.r.t. φ^I :

$$\partial_a \left[\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} g_{cd} - L(F^2) \right] = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{cd} g_{cd} - L(F^2) = M , \quad (8)$$

where M is an arbitrary integration constant. The equations of motion w.r.t. γ^{ab} read:

$$\frac{1}{2} g_{ab} - F^2 L'(F^2) \left[\gamma_{ab} - \frac{F_a^* F_b^*}{F^{*2}} \right] = 0 , \quad (9)$$

where F^{*a} is the dual field strength (4). In deriving (9) we made an essential use of the identity (6).

Before proceeding, let us mention that both the auxiliary world-volume scalars φ^I entering the non-Riemannian integration measure density (2), as well as the intrinsic world-volume

metric γ_{ab} are *non-dynamical* degrees of freedom in the action (1), or equivalently, in (7). Indeed, there are no (time-)derivatives w.r.t. γ_{ab} , whereas the action (1) (or (7)) is *linear* w.r.t. the velocities $\dot{\varphi}^I$. Thus, (1) is a constrained dynamical system, *i.e.*, a system with gauge symmetries including the gauge symmetry under world-volume reparametrizations, and both Eqs.(8)–(9) are in fact *non-dynamical constraint* equations (no second-order time derivatives present). Their meaning as constraint equations is best understood within the framework of the Hamiltonian formalism for the action (1). The latter can be developed in strict analogy with the Hamiltonian formalism for a simpler class of modified *non-lightlike* p -brane models based on the alternative non-Riemannian integration measure density (2), which was previously proposed in [19] (for details, we refer to Sections 2 and 3 of [19]). In particular, Eqs.(9) can be viewed as p -brane analogues of the string Virasoro constraints.

There are two important consequences of Eqs.(8)–(9). Taking the trace in (9) and comparing with (8) implies the following crucial relation for the Lagrangian function $L(F^2)$:

$$L(F^2) - pF^2L'(F^2) + M = 0 , \quad (10)$$

which determines F^2 (5) on-shell as certain function of the integration constant M (8), *i.e.*

$$F^2 = F^2(M) = \text{const} . \quad (11)$$

The second and most profound consequence of Eqs.(9) is that the induced metric (3) on the world-volume of the p -brane model (1) is *singular* on-shell (as opposed to the induced metric in the case of ordinary Nambu-Goto branes):

$$g_{ab}F^{*b} = 0 , \quad (12)$$

i.e., the tangent vector to the world-volume $F^{*a}\partial_a X^\mu$ is *lightlike* w.r.t. metric of the embedding space-time. Thus, we arrive at the following important conclusion: every point on the surface of the p -brane (1) moves with the speed of light in a time-evolution along the vector-field F^{*a} which justifies the name *LL-brane* (Lightlike-brane) model for (1).

Remark 2. Let us stress the importance of introducing the alternative non-Riemannian integration measure density in the form (2). If we would have started with world-volume *LL-brane* action in the form (7) where the tension χ would be an *elementary* field (instead of being function of the measure-density scalars), then variation w.r.t. χ would produce second Eq.(8) with M identically zero. This in turn by virtue of the constraint (10) (with

$M = 0$) would require the Lagrangian $L(F^2)$ to assume the special fractional function form from **Remark 1** above. This special case of Weyl-conformally invariant *LL-branes* has been discussed in our older papers (first two refs.[9]).

Before proceeding let us point out that we can add [9] to the *LL-brane* action (1) natural couplings to bulk Maxwell and Kalb-Ramond gauge fields. The latter do not affect Eqs.(8) and (9), so that the conclusions about on-shell constancy of F^2 (11) and the lightlike nature (12) of the p -branes under consideration remain unchanged.

Further, the equations of motion w.r.t. world-volume gauge field $A_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}$ (with χ as defined in (7) and accounting for the constraint (11)) read:

$$\partial_{[a} (F_{b]}^* \chi) = 0 . \quad (13)$$

They allow us to introduce the dual “gauge” potential u :

$$F_a^* = \text{const} \frac{1}{\chi} \partial_a u , \quad (14)$$

enabling us to rewrite Eq.(9) (the lightlike constraint) in terms of the dual potential u in the form:

$$\gamma_{ab} = \frac{1}{2a_0} g_{ab} - \frac{2}{\chi^2} \partial_a u \partial_b u \quad , \quad a_0 \equiv F^2 L'(F^2) \Big|_{F^2=F^2(M)} = \text{const} \quad (15)$$

($L'(F^2)$ denotes derivative of $L(F^2)$ w.r.t. the argument F^2). From (14) and (11) we obtain the relation:

$$\chi^2 = -2\gamma^{ab} \partial_a u \partial_b u , \quad (16)$$

and the Bianchi identity $\nabla_a F^{*a} = 0$ becomes:

$$\partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\chi} \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_b u \right) = 0 . \quad (17)$$

Finally, the X^μ equations of motion produced by the (1) read:

$$\partial_a (\chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_b X^\mu) + \chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\nu \partial_b X^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu(X) = 0 \quad (18)$$

where $\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} G^{\mu\kappa} (\partial_\nu G_{\kappa\lambda} + \partial_\lambda G_{\kappa\nu} - \partial_\kappa G_{\nu\lambda})$ is the Christoffel connection for the external metric.

Now it is straightforward to prove that the system of equations (16)–(18) for (X^μ, u, χ) , which are equivalent to the equations of motion (8)–(13),(18) resulting from the original

Polyakov-type *LL-brane* action (1), can be equivalently derived from the following *dual* Nambu-Goto-type world-volume action:

$$S_{\text{NG}} = - \int d^{p+1} \sigma T \sqrt{-\det \|g_{ab} - \frac{1}{T^2} \partial_a u \partial_b u\|} . \quad (19)$$

Here g_{ab} is the induced metric (3); T is *dynamical* tension simply related to the dynamical tension χ from the Polyakov-type formulation (7) as $T^2 = \frac{\chi^2}{4a_0}$ with a_0 – same constant as in (15).

In what follows we will consider the initial Polyakov-type form (1) of the *LL-brane* world-volume action. World-volume reparametrization invariance allows to introduce the standard synchronous gauge-fixing conditions:

$$\gamma^{0i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p) , \quad \gamma^{00} = -1 \quad (20)$$

Also, we will use a natural ansatz for the “electric” part of the auxiliary world-volume gauge field-strength:

$$F^{*i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p) \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad F_{0i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} = 0 , \quad (21)$$

meaning that we choose the lightlike direction in Eq.(12) to coincide with the brane proper-time direction on the world-volume ($F^{*a} \partial_a \sim \partial_\tau$). The Bianchi identity ($\nabla_a F^{*a} = 0$) together with (20)–(21) and the definition for the dual field-strength in (4) imply:

$$\partial_0 \gamma^{(p)} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma^{(p)} \equiv \det \|\gamma_{ij}\| . \quad (22)$$

Then *LL-brane* equations of motion acquire the form (recall definition of g_{ab} (3)):

$$g_{00} \equiv \dot{X}^\mu G_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\nu = 0 \quad , \quad g_{0i} = 0 \quad , \quad g_{ij} - 2a_0 \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad (23)$$

(the latter are analogs of Virasoro constraints), where the M -dependent constant a_0 (the same as in (15)) must be strictly positive;

$$\partial_i \chi = 0 \quad (\text{remnant of Eq.(13)}) ; \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \partial_0 (\chi \partial_0 X^\mu) + \partial_i \left(\chi \sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \gamma^{ij} \partial_j X^\mu \right) \\ & + \chi \sqrt{\gamma^{(p)}} \left(-\partial_0 X^\nu \partial_0 X^\lambda + \gamma^{kl} \partial_k X^\nu \partial_l X^\lambda \right) \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

III. LIGHTLIKE TEST-BRANES IN SPHERICALLY AND AXIALLY SYMMETRIC GRAVITATIONAL BACKGROUNDS

First, let us consider codimension one *LL-brane* moving in a general spherically symmetric background:

$$ds^2 = -A(t, r)(dt)^2 + B(t, r)(dr)^2 + C(t, r)h_{ij}(\vec{\theta})d\theta^i d\theta^j, \quad (26)$$

i.e., $D = (p + 1) + 1$, with the simplest non-trivial ansatz for the *LL-brane* embedding coordinates $X^\mu(\sigma)$:

$$t = \tau \equiv \sigma^0, \quad r = r(\tau), \quad \theta^i = \sigma^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, p). \quad (27)$$

The *LL-brane* equations of motion (15)–(18), taking into account (20)–(21), acquire the form:

$$-A + B \dot{r}^2 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \dot{r} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}}, \quad \partial_t C + \dot{r} \partial_r C = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\partial_\tau \chi + \chi \left[\partial_t \ln \sqrt{AB} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \left(\partial_r A + p a_0 \partial_r \ln C \right) \right]_{r=r(\tau)} = 0, \quad (29)$$

where a_0 is the same constant appearing in (15). In particular, we are interested in static spherically symmetric metrics in standard coordinates:

$$ds^2 = -A(r)(dt)^2 + A^{-1}(r)(dr)^2 + r^2 h_{ij}(\vec{\theta})d\theta^i d\theta^j \quad (30)$$

for which Eqs.(28) yield:

$$\dot{r} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad r(\tau) = r_0 = \text{const}, \quad A(r_0) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Eq.(31) tells us that consistency of *LL-brane* dynamics in a spherically symmetric gravitational background of codimension one requires the latter to possess a horizon (at some $r = r_0$), which is automatically occupied by the *LL-brane* (“horizon straddling”). Further, Eq.(29) implies for the dynamical tension:

$$\chi(\tau) = \chi_0 \exp \left\{ \mp \tau \left(\partial_r A \Big|_{r=r_0} + \frac{2p a_0}{r_0} \right) \right\}, \quad \chi_0 = \text{const}. \quad (32)$$

Thus, we find a time-asymmetric solution for the dynamical brane tension which (upon appropriate choice of the signs ($\mp$) depending on the sign of the constant factor in the exponent on the r.h.s. of (32)) *exponentially “inflates” or “deflates”* for large times (for

details we refer to [10]). This phenomenon is an analog of the “mass inflation” effect around black hole horizons [20].

Next, let us consider $D=4$ -dimensional Kerr-Newman background metric in the standard Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (see e.g. [21]):

$$ds^2 = -A(dt)^2 - 2Edt d\varphi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}(dr)^2 + \Sigma(d\theta)^2 + D \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2 , \quad (33)$$

$$A \equiv \frac{\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} , \quad E \equiv \frac{a \sin^2 \theta (r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)}{\Sigma} , \quad D \equiv \frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} , \quad (34)$$

where $\Sigma \equiv r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Delta \equiv r^2 + a^2 + e^2 - 2mr$. Let us recall that the Kerr-Newman metric (33)–(34) reduces to the Reissner-Nordström metric in the limiting case $a = 0$.

For the *LL-brane* embedding we will use the following ansatz:

$$X^0 \equiv t = \tau , \quad r = r(\tau) , \quad \theta = \sigma^1 , \quad \varphi = \sigma^2 + \tilde{\varphi}(\tau) . \quad (35)$$

In this case the *LL-brane* equations of motion (22)–(23) acquire the form:

$$\begin{aligned} -A + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} \dot{r}^2 + D \sin^2 \theta \dot{\varphi}^2 - 2E \dot{\varphi} &= 0 \\ -E + D \sin^2 \theta \dot{\varphi} &= 0 , \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} (D \Sigma \sin^2 \theta) = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Inserting the ansatz (35) into (36) the last Eq.(36) implies:

$$r(\tau) = r_0 = \text{const} , \quad (37)$$

whereas the second Eq.(36) yields:

$$\Delta(r_0) = 0 , \quad \omega \equiv \dot{\varphi} = \frac{a}{r_0^2 + a^2} \quad (38)$$

Eqs.(37)–(38) indicate that:

- (i) the *LL-brane* automatically locates itself on the Kerr-Newman horizon $r = r_0$ – horizon “straddling” according to the terminology of the first ref.[4];
- (ii) the *LL-brane* rotates along with the same angular velocity ω as the Kerr-Newman horizon.

The first Eq.(36) implies that $\dot{r}$ vanishes on-shell as:

$$\dot{r} \simeq \pm \frac{\Delta(r)}{r_0^2 + a^2} \Big|_{r \rightarrow r_0} . \quad (39)$$

We will also need the explicit form of the last Eq.(23) (using notations (34)):

$$\gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2a_0} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & D \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{r=r_0, \theta=\sigma^1} . \quad (40)$$

Among the X^μ -equations of motion (25) only the X^0 -equation yields additional information. Because of the embedding $X^0 = \tau$ it acquires the form of a time-evolution equation for the dynamical brane tension χ :

$$\partial_\tau \chi + \chi \left[\partial_\tau X^\nu \partial_\tau X^\lambda - \gamma^{ij} \partial_i X^\nu \partial_j X^\lambda \right] \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^0 = 0 , \quad (41)$$

which, after taking into account (35), (37)–(38) and the explicit expressions for the Kerr-Newman Christoffel connection coefficients (first ref.[21]), reduces to:

$$\partial_\tau \chi + \chi \, 2 \, \dot{r} \left[\Gamma_{0r}^0 + \frac{a}{r_0^2 + a^2} \Gamma_{r\varphi}^0 \right]_{r=r_0} = 0 . \quad (42)$$

Singularity on the horizon of the Christoffel coefficients ($\sim \Delta^{-1}$) appearing in (42) is cancelled by Δ in $\dot{r}$ (39) so that finally we obtain:

$$\partial_\tau \chi \pm \chi \frac{2(r_0 - m)}{r_0^2 + a^2} = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \chi = \chi_0 \exp \left\{ \mp 2 \frac{(r_0 - m)}{r_0^2 + a^2} \tau \right\} \quad (43)$$

Thus, we find “mass inflation/deflation” effect (according to the terminology of [20]) on the Kerr-Newman horizon via the exponential time dependence of the dynamical *LL-brane* tension similar to the “mass inflation/deflation” effect with *LL-branes* in spherically symmetric gravitational backgrounds (Eq.(32)).

Now let us consider rotating cylindrical black hole background in $D = 4$ [22]:

$$ds^2 = -A(dt)^2 - 2E dt d\varphi + \frac{(dr)^2}{\Delta} + D(d\varphi)^2 + \alpha^2 r^2 (dz)^2 \quad (44)$$

where:

$$A \equiv -\omega^2 r^2 + \gamma^2 \Delta \quad , \quad E \equiv \gamma \omega r^2 - \frac{\gamma \omega}{\alpha^2} \Delta \quad , \quad D \equiv \gamma^2 r^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{\alpha^4} \Delta \quad , \quad \Delta \equiv \alpha^2 r^2 - \frac{b}{\alpha r} + \frac{c^2}{\alpha^2 r^2} . \quad (45)$$

The physical meaning of the parameters involved is as follows: $\alpha^2 = -\frac{1}{3}\Lambda$, i.e., Λ must be negative cosmological constant; $b = 4m$ with m being the mass per unit length along the z -axis; $c^2 = 4\lambda^2$, where λ indicates the linear charge density along the z -axis.

The metric (45) possesses in general two horizons at $r = r_{(\pm)}$ where $\Delta(r_{(\pm)}) = 0$.

Let us note the useful identity which will play an important role in the sequel:

$$AD + E^2 = r^2 \left(\gamma^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{\alpha^2} \right)^2 \Delta \quad (46)$$

For the *LL-brane* embedding we will use an ansatz similar to the Kerr-Newman case (35):

$$X^0 \equiv t = \tau \quad , \quad r = r(\tau) \quad , \quad z = \sigma^1 \quad , \quad \varphi = \sigma^2 + \tilde{\varphi}(\tau) \quad . \quad (47)$$

Then the lightlike and Virasoro-like constraint equations of the *LL-brane* dynamics (23) in the background (44)–(45) (the analogs of Eqs.(36) in the Kerr-Newman case):

$$-A + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{\Delta} + D \dot{\varphi}^2 - 2E \dot{\varphi} = 0 \quad , \quad -E + D \dot{\varphi} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{d}{d\tau} (D \alpha^2 r^2) = 0 \quad (48)$$

imply:

$$r(\tau) = r_0 = \text{const} \quad , \quad \Delta(r_0) = 0 \quad , \quad \dot{\varphi} = \frac{\omega}{\gamma} \quad . \quad (49)$$

Thus, similarly to the Kerr-Newman case:

- (i) the *LL-brane* automatically locates itself on one of the cylindrical black hole horizons at $r = r_0 = r_{(\pm)}$ (horizon “straddling”);
- (ii) the *LL-brane* rotates with angular velocity ω/γ along with the rotation of the cylindrical black hole horizon;
- (iii) $\dot{r}$ vanishes on-shell as:

$$\dot{r} \simeq \pm \left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\gamma^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \right| \Delta(r) \Big|_{r=r_{(\pm)}} \quad (50)$$

(the overall signs $\pm$ on the r.h.s. of (50) are *not* correlated with the indices $(\pm)$ labelling the outer/inner horizon).

Again in complete analogy with the Kerr-Newman case (Eqs.(41)–(42)) the X^0 -equation of motion (25) reduces to the following time-evolution equation for the pertinent dynamical brane tension:

$$\partial_\tau \chi + \chi^2 \dot{r} \left[\Gamma_{0r}^0 + \frac{E}{D} \Gamma_{r\varphi}^0 \right]_{r=r_{(\pm)}} = 0 \quad , \quad (51)$$

where the Christoffel coefficients read:

$$\Gamma_{0r}^0 = \frac{D \partial_r A + E \partial_r E}{2(AD + E^2)} \quad , \quad \Gamma_{r\varphi}^0 = \frac{D \partial_r E - E \partial_r D}{2(AD + E^2)} \quad (52)$$

with the functions A, D, E as in (44)–(45). Taking into account (49)–(50) and the identity (46) we obtain from (51)–(52) exponential “inflation/deflation” of the *LL-brane* tension in

rotating cylindrical black hole background:

$$\chi(\tau) = \chi_0 \exp \left\{ \mp \tau \left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\gamma^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \right| \partial_r \Delta(r) \Big|_{r=r(\pm)} \right\} \quad (53)$$

(here again there is no correlation between the overall signs $\mp$ in the exponent with the indices $(\pm)$ labelling the outer/inner horizon).

IV. SELF-CONSISTENT WORMHOLE SOLUTIONS VIA LIGHTLIKE BRANES

Let us now consider a self-consistent bulk Einstein-Maxwell system (with a cosmological constant) free of electrically charged matter, coupled to a codimension one *LL-brane*:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-G} \left[\frac{R(G)}{16\pi} - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \right] + S_{LL} . \quad (54)$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu$ and S_{LL} is the same *LL-brane* world-volume action as in (7). Thus, the *LL-brane* will serve as a gravitational source through its energy-momentum tensor (see Eq.(56) below). The pertinent Einstein-Maxwell equations of motion read:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} R + \Lambda G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{(EM)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(brane)} \right) , \quad \partial_\nu \left(\sqrt{-G} G^{\mu\kappa} G^{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa\lambda} \right) = 0 , \quad (55)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^{(EM)} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\kappa} \mathcal{F}_{\nu\lambda} G^{\kappa\lambda} - G_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\kappa} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\lambda} G^{\rho\sigma} G^{\kappa\lambda}$, and the *LL-brane* energy-momentum tensor is straightforwardly derived from (7):

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(brane)} = -G_{\mu\kappa} G_{\nu\lambda} \int d^{p+1} \sigma \frac{\delta^{(D)}(x - X(\sigma))}{\sqrt{-G}} \chi \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ab} \partial_a X^\kappa \partial_b X^\lambda , \quad (56)$$

The equations of motion of the *LL-brane* have already been given in (23)–(25).

Using (56) we will now construct traversable *wormhole* solutions to the Einstein equations (55) which will combine the features of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” (wormhole throat at horizon) and the feature “charge without charge” of Misner-Wheeler wormholes [17]. In doing this we will follow the standard procedure described in [12], but with the significant difference that in our case we will solve Einstein equations following from a self-consistent bulk gravity-matter system coupled to a *LL-brane*. In other words, the *LL-brane* will serve as a gravitational source of the wormhole by locating itself on its throat as a result of its consistent world-volume dynamics (Eq.(31) above).

First we will consider the case with *spherical symmetry*. To this end let us take a spherically symmetric solution of (55) of the form (30) in the absence of the *LL-brane* (i.e.,

without $T_{\mu\nu}^{(brane)}$ on the r.h.s.), which possesses an (outer) event horizon at some $r = r_0$ (i.e., $A(r_0) = 0$ and $A(r) > 0$ for $r > r_0$). At this point we introduce the following modification of the metric (30):

$$ds^2 = -\tilde{A}(\eta)(dt)^2 + \tilde{A}^{-1}(\eta)(d\eta)^2 + (r_0 + |\eta|)^2 h_{ij}(\vec{\theta}) d\theta^i d\theta^j \quad , \quad \tilde{A}(\eta) \equiv A(r_0 + |\eta|) \quad , \quad (57)$$

where $-\infty < \eta < \infty$. From now on the bulk space-time indices μ, ν will refer to (t, η, θ^i) instead of (t, r, θ^i) . The new metric (57) represents two identical copies of the exterior region ($r > r_0$) of the spherically symmetric space-time with metric (30), which are sewed together along the horizon $r = r_0$. We will show that the new metric (57) is a solution of the full Einstein equations (55), *including* $T_{\mu\nu}^{(brane)}$ on the r.h.s.. Here the newly introduced coordinate η will play the role of a radial-like coordinate normal w.r.t. the *LL-brane* located on the horizon, which interpolates between two copies of the exterior region of (30) (the two copies transform into each other under the “parity” transformation $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$).

Inserting in (56) the expressions for $X^\mu(\sigma)$ from (27) and (31) and taking into account (15), (20)–(21) we get:

$$T_{(brane)}^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} \delta(\eta) \quad (58)$$

with surface energy-momentum tensor:

$$S^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{\chi}{(2a_0)^{p/2}} \left[-\partial_\tau X^\mu \partial_\tau X^\nu + \gamma^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu \right]_{t=\tau, \eta=0, \theta^i=\sigma^i} \quad , \quad \partial_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^i} \quad , \quad (59)$$

where again a_0 is the integration constant parameter appearing in the *LL-brane* dynamics (cf. Eq.(15)). Let us also note that unlike the case of test *LL-brane* moving in a spherically symmetric background (Eqs.(29) and (32)), the dynamical brane tension χ in Eq.(59) turns out to be *constant*. This is due to the fact that in the present context we have a discontinuity in the Christoffel connection coefficients across the *LL-brane* sitting on the horizon ($\eta = 0$). The problem in treating the geodesic *LL-brane* equations of motion (18), in particular – Eq.(29), can be resolved following the approach in ref.[3] (see also the regularization approach in ref.[23], Appendix A) by taking the mean value of the pertinent non-zero Christoffel coefficients across the discontinuity at $\eta = 0$. From the explicit form of Eq.(29) it is straightforward to conclude that the above mentioned mean values around $\eta = 0$ vanish since now ∂_τ is replaced by $\partial/\partial\eta$, whereas the metric coefficients depend explicitly on $|\eta|$. Therefore, in the present case Eq.(29) is reduced to $\partial_\tau \chi = 0$.

Let us now separate in (55) explicitly the terms contributing to δ -function singularities (these are the terms containing second derivatives w.r.t. η , bearing in mind that the metric coefficients in (57) depend on $|\eta|$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \partial_\eta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\eta - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \ln \sqrt{-G} + \text{non-singular terms} \\ &= 8\pi \left(S_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{p} G_{\mu\nu} S_\lambda^\lambda \right) \delta(\eta) + \text{non-singular terms} . \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

The only non-zero contribution to the δ -function singularities on both sides of Eq.(60) arises for $(\mu\nu) = (\eta\eta)$. In order to avoid coordinate singularity on the horizon it is more convenient to consider the mixed component version of the latter (with one contravariant and one covariant index) :

$$R_\eta^\eta = 8\pi \left(S_\eta^\eta - \frac{1}{p} S_\lambda^\lambda \right) \delta(\eta) + \text{non-singular terms} \quad (61)$$

(in the special case of Schwarzschild geometry we can use the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system which is free of singularities on the horizon; see the *Appendix*). Evaluating the l.h.s. of (61) through the formula (recall $D = p + 2$):

$$R_r^r = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r^{D-2}} \partial_r (r^{D-2} \partial_r A) \quad (62)$$

valid for any spherically symmetric metric of the form (30) and recalling $r = r_0 + |\eta|$, we obtain the following matching condition for the coefficients in front of the δ -functions on both sides of (61) (analog of Israel junction conditions [3, 4]):

$$\partial_\eta \tilde{A} \big|_{\eta \rightarrow +0} - \partial_\eta \tilde{A} \big|_{\eta \rightarrow -0} = -\frac{16\pi \chi}{(2a_0)^{p/2-1}} , \quad (63)$$

or, equivalently:

$$\partial_r A \big|_{r=r_0} = -\frac{8\pi \chi}{(2a_0)^{p/2-1}} , \quad (64)$$

where we have used the explicit expression for the trace of the *LL-brane* energy-momentum tensor (59):

$$S_\lambda^\lambda = -\frac{p}{(2a_0)^{p/2-1}} \chi . \quad (65)$$

Eq.(64) yields a relation between the parameters of the spherically symmetric outer regions of “vacuum” solution (30) of Einstein Eqs.(55) and the dynamical tension of the *LL-brane* sitting at the (outer) horizon.

As an explicit example let us take (30) to be the standard $D = 4$ Reissner-Nordström metric, i.e., $A(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{e^2}{r^2}$. Then Eq.(63) yields the following relation between the Reissner-Nordström parameters and the dynamical LL -brane tension:

$$4\pi\chi r_0^2 + r_0 - m = 0 \quad , \quad \text{where } r_0 = m + \sqrt{m^2 - e^2} . \quad (66)$$

Eq.(66) indicates that the dynamical brane tension must be *negative*. Eq.(66) reduces to a cubic equation for the Reissner-Nordström mass m as function of $|\chi|$:

$$(16\pi |\chi| m - 1) (m^2 - e^2) + 16\pi^2 \chi^2 e^4 = 0 . \quad (67)$$

In the special case of Schwarzschild wormhole ($e^2 = 0$) the Schwarzschild mass becomes:

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi |\chi|} . \quad (68)$$

The particular case of Schwarzschild wormhole (with $\tilde{A}(\eta) = 1 - \frac{2m}{2m+|\eta|}$) constructed above is the proper consistent realization of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” [14]. We refer to the *Appendix*, where it is explained how the present formalism involving a LL -brane as wormhole source positioned at the wormhole throat resolves certain inconsistency in the original treatment of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge”.

Let us observe that for large values of the LL -brane tension $|\chi|$, the Reissner-Nordström (Schwarzschild) mass m is very small. In particular, $m \ll M_{Pl}$ for $|\chi| > M_{Pl}^3$ (M_{Pl} being the Planck mass). On the other hand, for small values of the LL -brane tension $|\chi|$ Eq.(66) implies that the Reissner-Nordström geometry of the wormhole must be near extremal ($m^2 \simeq e^2$).

Now we will apply the above formalism to construct a rotating cylindrically symmetric wormhole in $D = 4$. Namely, we introduce the following modification of the cylindrically symmetric rotating black hole metric (44)–(45) (cf.(57) above) :

$$ds^2 = -\tilde{A}(dt)^2 - 2\tilde{E}dt d\varphi + \frac{(d\eta)^2}{\tilde{\Delta}} + \tilde{D}(d\varphi)^2 + \alpha^2(r_{(+)} + |\eta|)^2(dz)^2 , \quad (69)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(\eta) &= A(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) \quad , \quad \tilde{D}(\eta) = D(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) \\ \tilde{E}(\eta) &= E(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) \quad , \quad \tilde{\Delta}(\eta) = \Delta(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) , \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

with A, D, E, Δ the same as in (45), and $r_{(+)}$ indicates the outer horizon of (44). From now on the bulk space-time indices μ, ν will refer to (t, η, z, φ) (instead of (t, r, z, φ)).

The metric (69)–(70) represents two identical copies of the exterior region ($r > r_{(+)}$) of the cylindrically symmetric rotating black hole space-time with metric (44), which are sewed together along the outer horizon $r = r_{(+)}$. The newly introduced coordinate η ($-\infty < \eta < \infty$) will play the role of a planar radial-like coordinate normal w.r.t. the *LL-brane* located on the horizon, which interpolates between two copies of the exterior region of (30) (the two copies transform into each other under the “parity” transformation $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$).

In the present case the *LL-brane* energy-momentum tensor (56) has again the form (58) with surface energy-momentum tensor (cf. Eq.(59) above; now we have $D = p + 2 = 4$) :

$$S^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\chi}{2a_0} \frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2|} [-\partial_\tau X^\mu \partial_\tau X^\nu + \gamma^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu]_{t=\tau, \eta=0, z=\sigma^1, \varphi=\sigma^2+\tau\omega/\gamma} , \quad (71)$$

where (47) and (49) are taken into account. Here once again the dynamical *LL-brane* tension χ turns out to be *constant* unlike the exponential “inflation/deflation” (53) of the tension of test *LL-brane* moving in a fixed cylindrical black hole background. The proof is completely analogous to the one given above for the spherically symmetric case.

As in the spherically symmetric case we separate in Einstein equations (55) explicitly the terms contributing to δ -function singularities on the *LL-brane* world-volume (obtaining Eqs.(60)), where again only the $(\mu\nu) = (\eta\eta)$ equation contains non-zero δ -function contributions, i.e., arriving at Eq.(61). In the present case of cylindrically symmetric geometry (69)–(70) Eq.(61) yields (taking into account the explicit form (71) of the *LL-brane* surface energy-momentum tensor) :

$$-\frac{1}{2} \partial_\eta^2 \Delta(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) = 8\pi\chi \frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2|} \delta(\eta) + \text{non-singular terms} , \quad (72)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \partial_\eta \Delta(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) \big|_{\eta \rightarrow +0} - \partial_\eta \Delta(r_{(+)} + |\eta|) \big|_{\eta \rightarrow -0} = -16\pi\chi \frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2|} , \quad (73)$$

or, equivalently:

$$\partial_r \Delta(r) \big|_{r=r_{(+)}} = -8\pi\chi \frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2|} . \quad (74)$$

Eq.(74) provides a relation between the parameters α , b , c of the cylindrical rotating wormhole and the dynamical tension χ of the wormhole-generating *LL-brane*. Here by construction the l.h.s. of (74) is strictly positive since $r_{(+)}$ is the outer horizon of the original metric (69)–(70), therefore, again as in the spherically symmetric case the dynamical *LL-brane* tension χ at the wormhole throat turns out to be *negative*.

V. TRAVERSABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

Let now briefly consider the dynamics of a test point particle moving in the gravitational field of the cylindrically symmetric rotating wormhole constructed in the previous Section. The analysis follows the lines of the standard procedure (see *e.g.* [24]). The pertinent reparametrization invariant test-particle action reads:

$$S_{\text{particle}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\lambda \left[\frac{1}{e} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu G_{\mu\nu}(x) - em^2 \right], \quad (75)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv G_{\mu\nu}(t, \eta, z, \varphi)$ is given by (69)–(70) and e denotes the “einbein”. Variation w.r.t. e yields the “mass-shell” constraint equation:

$$-\tilde{A} \dot{t}^2 - 2\tilde{E} \dot{t} \dot{\varphi} + \tilde{D} \dot{\varphi}^2 + \alpha^2(r_{(+)} + |\eta|)^2 \dot{z}^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}} \dot{\eta}^2 + e^2 m^2 = 0, \quad (76)$$

with $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{D}$, $\tilde{E}$, $\tilde{\Delta}$ defined through (70) and (45). We have also three Noether conserved quantities – energy $\mathcal{E}$, axial angular momentum $\mathcal{J}$ and momentum P_z along the z -axis:

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{e} (\tilde{A} \dot{t} + \tilde{E} \dot{\varphi}) \quad , \quad \mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{e} (-\tilde{E} \dot{t} + \tilde{D} \dot{\varphi}) \quad , \quad P_z = \frac{1}{e} \alpha^2(r_{(+)} + |\eta|)^2 \dot{z} \quad . \quad (77)$$

Solving (77) for $\dot{t}$, $\dot{\varphi}$, $\dot{z}$, substituting into (76) and employing the particle’s proper-time s instead of the generic evolution parameter λ (the relation in the present case being given by $ds/d\lambda = em$) we obtain the equation for the particle motion along η – normal w.r.t. the wormhole throat:

$$\eta'^2 + \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(|\eta|) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}} \quad , \quad (78)$$

where:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(|\eta|) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}(|\eta|) \left[1 + \frac{1}{m^2(r_{(+)} + |\eta|)^2} \left(\frac{P_z^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{(\gamma\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{E}\omega/\alpha^2)^2}{(\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2)^2} \right) \right] \quad , \quad (79)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{(\gamma\mathcal{E} - \omega\mathcal{J})^2}{m^2(\gamma^2 - \omega^2/\alpha^2)^2} \quad . \quad (80)$$

The “effective potential” $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}$ (79) is strictly positive for each $\eta \neq 0$ and growing with the following behavior for small η (*i.e.*, around the wormhole throat) and large η , respectively:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(|\eta|) \begin{cases} \simeq \text{const } |\eta| & \text{for } \eta \rightarrow 0 \\ \simeq \text{const } \eta^2 & \text{for } \eta \rightarrow \pm\infty \end{cases} \quad . \quad (81)$$

Therefore, there is a whole range of values of the “effective energy” $\mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}}$ (80) for which the test particle periodically traverses the wormhole between the “turning points” $\pm\eta_{\text{turning}}$ ($\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(|\pm\eta_{\text{turning}}|) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{eff}}$) within a *finite* amount of its proper time s .

On the other hand, for a static observer on either side of the wormhole (which by construction is a copy of the exterior region of a black hole beyond the outer horizon) the throat looks the same as a black hole horizon, therefore, it would take an infinite amount of the “laboratory” time t for a test particle to reach the wormhole throat. Thus, when we say that we have constructed *traversable* wormholes via *LL-branes*, we have in mind traversability w.r.t. *proper time* of travellers.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have provided a systematic general scheme to construct self-consistent spherically symmetric or rotating cylindrical wormhole solutions via *LL-branes*, such that the latter occupy the wormhole throats and match together two copies of exterior regions of spherically symmetric or rotating cylindrical black holes (the regions beyond the outer horizons).

As a particular case, the matching of two exterior regions of Schwarzschild space-time at the horizon surface $r = 2m$ through a *LL-brane* is indeed the self-consistent realization of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge”, namely, it requires the presence of a *LL-brane* at $r = 2m$ – a feature not recognized in the original Einstein-Rosen work [14] (see *Appendix*).

The main result here is the construction of self-consistent rotating wormholes with rotating *LL-brane* as their sources sitting at the wormhole throats. The surface tension of the *LL-brane* is an additional brane degree of freedom, which assumes negative values on-shell in all cases – both for spherically symmetric as well as for rotating cylindrical wormholes, but it can be of arbitrary small magnitude.

The class of spherically symmetric and rotating cylindrical wormhole solutions produced by *LL-brane* constructed above combine the features of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” manifold [14] (wormhole throat located at horizon) with the feature “charge without charge” of Misner-Wheeler wormholes [17]. There exist several other types of physically interesting wormhole solutions in the literature generated by different types of matter and without horizons. For a recent discussion, see ref.[25] and references therein.

The geodesic equations for test particles traversing the throats of the presently constructed wormholes have been briefly studied. We have found that it requires a *finite proper time* for a travelling observer to pass from one side of the wormhole to the other, so that

“traversability” for the presently constructed wormholes via *LL-branes* is understood as traversability w.r.t. the proper time of travelling observers.

VII. APPENDIX: THE ORIGINAL EINSTEIN-ROSEN “BRIDGE” NEEDS A LIGHTLIKE BRANE FOR CONSISTENCY

Here we briefly examine the first explicit wormhole construction proposed by Einstein and Rosen [14] which is usually referred to as “Einstein-Rosen bridge”. As we will see in what follows, the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” solution in terms of the original coordinates introduced in [14] (Eq.(82) below) *does not* satisfy the vacuum Einstein equations due to an ill-defined δ -function contribution at the throat appearing on the r.h.s. – a would-be “thin shell” matter energy-momentum tensor (see Eq.(87) below). The fully consistent formulation of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge”, namely, two identical copies of the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region matched along the horizon must include a gravity coupling to a *LL-brane*. In fact, the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” wormhole is a particular case ($e = 0$) of our construction of Reissner-Nordström wormhole via *LL-brane* presented above in Section 4 (cf. Eqs.(63)–(68)). Here we will study separately the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” construction because of its historic importance.

Let us start with the coordinate system proposed in [14], which is obtained from the original Schwarzschild coordinates by defining $u^2 = r - 2m$, so that the Schwarzschild metric becomes:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{u^2}{u^2 + 2m}(dt)^2 + 4(u^2 + 2m)(du)^2 + (u^2 + 2m)^2 ((d\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2) . \quad (82)$$

Then Einstein and Rosen “double” the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) by letting the new coordinate u to vary between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ (i.e., we have the same $r \geq 2m$ for $\pm u$). The two Schwarzschild exterior space-time regions must be matched at the horizon $u = 0$.

At this point let us note that the notion of “Einstein-Rosen bridge” in e.g. ref.[15], which uses the Kruskal-Szekeres manifold, is not equivalent to the original construction in [14], i.e., two identical copies of the exterior Schwarzschild space-time region matched along the horizon. The two regions in Kruskal-Szekeres space-time corresponding to the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) and labeled (*I*) and (*III*) in [15] are generally

disconnected and share only a two-sphere (the angular part) as a common border ($U = 0, V = 0$ in Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates), whereas in the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” construction the boundary between the two identical copies of the outer four-dimensional Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) is a three-dimensional hypersurface ($r = 2m$).

In our wormhole construction above (Section 4) we have used a different new coordinate $\eta \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ to describe the two copies of the exterior (beyond the outer horizon) space-time regions. In the Schwarzschild case we have $|\eta| = r - 2m$ and, accordingly, the Schwarzschild metric describing both copies becomes:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{|\eta|}{|\eta| + 2m}(dt)^2 + \frac{|\eta| + 2m}{|\eta|}(d\eta)^2 + (|\eta| + 2m)^2 ((d\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2) \quad (83)$$

(one can use instead the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate system; see below). Due to the non-smooth dependence of the metric (83) on η via $|\eta|$ it is obvious that the terms in $R_{\mu\nu}$ containing second order derivative w.r.t. η will generate $\delta(\eta)$ -terms on the l.h.s. of the pertinent Einstein equations. It is precisely due to the gravity coupling to *LL-brane*, that the corresponding *LL-brane* surface stress-energy tensor on the r.h.s. of Einstein equations matches the delta-function contributions on the l.h.s.. In particular, calculating the scalar curvature of the metric (83) we obtain the well-defined non-zero distributional result:

$$R = -\frac{1}{m}\delta(\eta) . \quad (84)$$

The relation between both metrics (82) and (83) is a non-smooth coordinate transformation:

$$u = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\eta} & \text{for } \eta \geq 0 \\ -\sqrt{-\eta} & \text{for } \eta \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e. } u^2 = |\eta| . \quad (85)$$

Then the question arises as to whether one can see the presence of the *LL-brane* also in the Einstein-Rosen coordinates. The answer is “yes”, but with the important disclaimer that the Einstein-Rosen coordinate u is *not appropriate* to describe the “bridge” at the throat $u = 0$ as it leads to an ill-defined δ -function singularity (Eq.(87) below).

To this end let us consider the Levi-Civita identity (see e.g. [26]):

$$R_0^0 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}\nabla^2 (\sqrt{-g_{00}}) \quad (86)$$

valid for any metric of the form $ds^2 = g_{00}(r)(dt)^2 + h_{ij}(r, \theta, \varphi)dx^i dx^j$ and where ∇^2 is the three-dimensional Laplace-Beltrami operator $\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\left(\sqrt{h}h^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)$. The Einstein-Rosen

metric (82) solves $R_0^0 = 0$ for all $u \neq 0$. However, since $\sqrt{-g_{00}} \sim |u|$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and since $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2}|u| = 2\delta(u)$, Eq.(86) tells us that:

$$R_0^0 \sim \frac{1}{|u|}\delta(u) \sim \delta(u^2) , \quad (87)$$

and similarly for the scalar curvature $R \sim \frac{1}{|u|}\delta(u) \sim \delta(u^2)$. From (87) we conclude that:

(i) The explicit presence of matter on the throat is missing in the original formulation [14] of Einstein-Rosen “bridge”.

(ii) The coordinate u in (82) is *inadequate* for description of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” at the throat due to the *ill-definiteness* of the r.h.s. in (87).

(iii) One should use instead the coordinate η as in (83) (or as in (89)–(90) below), which provides the consistent construction of the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” manifold as a spherically symmetric wormhole with Schwarzschild geometry produced via lightlike brane sitting at its throat in a self-consistent formulation, namely, solving Einstein equations with a surface stress-energy tensor of the lightlike brane derived from a well-defined world-volume brane action. Moreover, the mass parameter m of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” is not a free parameter but rather is a function of the dynamical *LL-brane* tension (Eq.(68)).

Let us also describe the construction of Einstein-Rosen “bridge” wormhole using the Finkelstein-Eddington coordinates for the Schwarzschild metric [27] (see also [15]):

$$ds^2 = -A(r)(dv)^2 + 2dv dr + r^2 [(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2] \quad ; \quad A(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} . \quad (88)$$

The advantage of the metric (88) over the metric in standard Schwarzschild coordinates is that both (88) as well as the corresponding Christoffel coefficients *do not* exhibit coordinate singularities on the horizon ($r = 2m$).

Let us introduce the following modification of (88) (cf.(83) above) :

$$ds^2 = -\tilde{A}(\eta)(dv)^2 + 2dv d\eta + \tilde{r}^2(\eta) [(d\theta)^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\varphi)^2] , \quad (89)$$

where:

$$\tilde{A}(\eta) = A(2m + |\eta|) = \frac{|\eta|}{|\eta| + 2m} \quad , \quad \tilde{r}(\eta) = 2m + |\eta| . \quad (90)$$

The metric describes two identical copies of Schwarzschild *exterior* region ($r > 2m$) in terms of the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, which correspond to $\eta > 0$ and $\eta < 0$, respectively, and which are “glued” together at the horizon $\eta = 0$ (i.e., $r = 2m$), where the latter will serve as a throat of the overall wormhole solution.

We will show that the metric (89)–(90) is a self-consistent solution of Einstein equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^{(brane)} \quad (91)$$

derived from the action describing bulk ($D = 4$) gravity coupled to a *LL-brane*:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-G} \frac{R(G)}{16\pi} + S_{LL} , \quad (92)$$

where S_{LL} is the *LL-brane* world-volume action (7) with $p = 2$.

Using as above the simplest non-trivial ansatz for the *LL-brane* embedding coordinates $X^\mu \equiv (v, \eta, \theta, \varphi) = X^\mu(\sigma)$:

$$v = \tau \equiv \sigma^0 , \quad \eta = \eta(\tau) , \quad \theta^1 \equiv \theta = \sigma^1 , \quad \theta^2 \equiv \varphi = \sigma^2 , \quad (93)$$

the pertinent *LL-brane* equations of motion yield (in complete analogy with (28)–(31)) :

$$\eta(\tau) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_\tau \chi + \chi \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\eta \tilde{A} + 2a_0 \partial_\eta \ln \tilde{r}^2 \right]_{\eta=0} = 0 . \quad (94)$$

As above, the first Eq.(94) (horizon “straddling” by the *LL-brane*) is obtained from the constraint equations (23), whereas the second Eq.(94) results from the geodesic *LL-brane* equation for $X^0 \equiv v$ (25) due to the embedding (93). Here again as in Section 4, the problem with the discontinuity in the Christoffel coefficients accross the horizon ($\eta = 0$) is resolved following the approach in ref.[3] (see also the regularization approach in ref.[23], Appendix A), *i.e.*, we need to take the mean value w.r.t. $\eta = 0$ yielding zero (since both $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{r}$ depend on $|\eta|$). Therefore, once again as in Section 4 we find that the dynamical *LL-brane* tension χ turns into an integration constant on-shell.

Taking into account (93)–(94) the *LL-brane* energy momentum tensor (56) derived from the world-volume action (7) becomes (cf. Eq.(59)):

$$T_{(brane)}^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} \delta(\eta) \quad , \quad S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\chi}{2a_0} [\partial_\tau X^\mu \partial_\tau X^\nu - 2a_0 G^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu]_{v=\tau, \eta=0, \theta^i=\sigma^i} \quad (95)$$

where G^{ij} is the inverse metric in the (θ, φ) subspace and a_0 indicates the integration constant parameter arising in the *LL-brane* world-volume dynamics (Eq.(15)).

Now we turn to the Einstein equations (91) where again as in Section 4 (cf. Eqs(60)) we explicitly separate the terms contributing to δ -function singularities on the l.h.s.:

$$R_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\eta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\eta - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \ln \sqrt{-G} + \text{non-singular terms} = 8\pi \left(S_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} S_\lambda^\lambda \right) \delta(\eta) \quad (96)$$

Using the explicit expressions:

$$\Gamma_{vv}^\eta = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}\partial_\eta\tilde{A} \ , \ \Gamma_{v\eta}^\eta = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\eta\tilde{A} \ , \ \Gamma_{\eta\eta}^\eta = 0 \ , \ \sqrt{-G} = \tilde{r}^2 \quad (97)$$

with $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{r}$ as in (90), it is straightforward to check that non-zero δ -function contributions in $R_{\mu\nu}$ appear for $(\mu\nu) = (v\eta)$ and $(\mu\nu) = (\eta\eta)$ only. Using also the expressions $S_{\eta\eta} = \frac{1}{2a_0}\chi$ and $S_\lambda^\lambda = -2\chi$ (cf. Eq.(65)) the Einstein Eqs.(96) yield for $(\mu\nu) = (v\eta)$ and $(\mu\nu) = (\eta\eta)$ the following matchings of the coefficients in front of the δ -functions, respectively:

$$m = \frac{1}{16\pi|\chi|} \ , \ \ m = \frac{a_0}{2\pi|\chi|} \ . \quad (98)$$

where as above the *LL-brane* dynamical tension must be negative. Consistency between the two relations (98) fixes the value $a_0 = 1/8$ for the integration constant a_0 .

Thus, we recover the same expression for the Schwarzschild mass m of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” wormhole (as function of the dynamical *LL-brane* tension) in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates (first Eq.(98)) as in the standard Schwarzschild coordinates (Eq.(68)). Moreover, unlike the previous treatment with the standard Schwarzschild coordinates, there are no coordinate singularities in the Christoffel coefficients (97), so when employing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates there is no need to use mixed indices (one covariant and one contravariant) in the Einstein equations unlike (61).

In conclusion let us note that for the scalar curvature of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” wormhole metric in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates (89)–(90) we obtain the same well-defined non-zero distributional result as in the case with ordinary Schwarzschild coordinates (84):

$$R = -\frac{1}{m}\delta(\eta) \ . \quad (99)$$

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Werner Israel and Eric Poisson for useful and illuminating correspondence. E.N. and S.P. are supported by Bulgarian NSF grant *DO 02-257*. Also, all of us acknowledge support of our collaboration through the exchange agreement between the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (Beer-Sheva, Israel) and the Bulgarian Academy of

-
- [1] C. Barrabés and P. Hogan, “*Singular Null-Hypersurfaces in General Relativity*” (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
 - [2] K. Thorne, R. Price and D. Macdonald (eds.), “*Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm*” (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven CT, 1986).
 - [3] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cim.* **B44**, (1966) 1; erratum, *ibid* **B48**, 463 (1967).
 - [4] C. Barrabés and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 1129 (1991);
T. Dray and G. ‘t Hooft, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3**, 825 (1986).
 - [5] I. Kogan and N. Reis, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16**, 4567 (2001).
 - [6] D. Mateos and S. Ng, *JHEP* **0208**, 005 (2002).
 - [7] J. Harvey, P. Kraus and F. Larsen, *Phys. Rev.* **D63**, 026002 (2001); D. Mateos, T. Mateos and P.K. Townsend, *JHEP* **0312** (2003) 017; A. Bredthauer, U. Lindström, J. Persson and L. Wulff, *JHEP* **0402** (2004) 051.
 - [8] C. Barrabés and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev.* **D71**, 064008 (2005).
 - [9] E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Rev.* **D72**, 0806011 (2005);
Fortschritte der Physik **55**, 579 (2007); in “*Fourth Internat. School on Modern Math. Physics*”,
edited by B. Dragovich and B. Sazdovich (Belgrade Inst. Phys. Press, Belgrade, 2007); “*Lie
Theory and Its Applications in Physics 07*”, edited by V. Dobrev and H. Doebner (Heron
Press, Sofia, 2008).
 - [10] E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Centr. Europ. Journ. Phys.*
7, no.4 (2009); in “*Fifth Summer School in Modern Mathematical Physics*”, edited by B.
Dragovich and Z. Rakic (Belgrade Inst. Phys. Press, Belgrade, 2009).
 - [11] E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **673B**, 288 (2009);
arxiv:0901.4443[hep-th] (to appear in *Fortschritte der Physik*)
 - [12] M. Visser, “*Lorentzian Wormholes. From Einstein to Hawking*” (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
 - [13] J. Lemos, F. Lobo and S. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 064004 (2003); S. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev.*
D71, 043520 (2005); F. Lobo, *arxiv:0710.4474[gr-qc]*.
 - [14] A. Einstein and N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.* **43**, 73 (1935).
 - [15] Ch. Misner, K. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, “*Gravitation*”, W.H. Freeman and Co., San Fran-

- cisco (1973).
- [16] E. Guendelman, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 3673 (2000); *Phys. Rev.* **D63**, 046006 (2001).
 - [17] C. Misner and J. Wheeler, *Ann. of Phys.* **2**, 525-603 (1957).
 - [18] P. Townsend, *Phys. Lett.* **277B**, 285 (1992); E. Bergshoeff, L. London and P. Townsend, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 2545 (1992); J. de Azcarraga, J. Izquierdo and P. Townsend, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, R3321 (1992).
 - [19] E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 046003 (2002).
 - [20] E. Poisson and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1663 (1989); *Phys. Rev.* **D41**, 1796 (1990).
 - [21] D.V. Galcov, “*Particles and Fields in Black Hole Neighborhood*” (in Russian) (Moscow Univ. Press, Moscow, 1986); V. Frolov and I. Novikov, “*Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments*” (Kluwer, 1997); S. Carroll, “*Spacetime and Geometry. An Introduction to General Relativity*” (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2004).
 - [22] J. Lemos and V. Zanchin, *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 3840 (1996); J. Lemos, *Phys. Lett.* **353B**, 46 (1995);
 - [23] S. Blau, E. Guendelman and A. Guth, *Phys. Rev.* **D35**, 1747 (1987).
 - [24] S. Weinberg, “*Gravitation and Cosmology*” (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972).
 - [25] K. Bronnikov and J. Lemos, *arxiv:0902.2360[gr-qc]*.
 - [26] T. Frankel, “*Gravitational Curvature, An Introduction to Einstein Theory*” (W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 1979).
 - [27] A. Eddington, *Nature*, **113**, 192 (1924); D. Finkelstein, *Phys. Rev.* **D110**, 965 (1958).